

Case: 2:19-cv-11207
 Judge: Friedman, Bernard A.
 MJ: Grand, David R.
 Filed: 04-25-2019 At 05:01 PM
 PRIS MCGORE v DUGGAN, ET AL (dat)

To: Clerk's Office of
 The United States Dis-
 trict Attorney Prosecu-
 tor's Office, 231. West
 Lafayette Avenue.
 Detroit, Michigan, 48226.

Dear
 Clerk of the
 Attorney Prosecutors Office I am writing and
 asking for a SUMMONS, and Modest or Arrest
Warrant in Detroit against the Detroit Dis-
trict Attorney Mr. Michael Duggan (Wayne County)
 and (District ex-Attorney Prosecution Mr. Michael
 Lang) who in 1976 prosecuted the Armed Rob-
bery, Criminal Sexual Conduct, and Felony Fire-
arm, (CCW) MCL-750.227 against me. The Gov-
ernment placed into evidence by Person's Testi-
mony by Mr. Rebecca Sherk of the Hazel
Park Police Department in 1976, in the City
of Hazel Park, Michigan. The able persons for
Reopening up the Criminal in Detroit against
 the Police officials Under Arrest Warrant to
 jail the above cited Police officers, Prosecutors
 is that No Statute or Law Under Mel.
767.241 or 3626 Federal Statute of
 (1) See Reverse Side

(2) Under The MCL 6.201, Discovery or New Evidence to prosecute the Hazel Park, Michigan Police officer is open Based on Newly Discovered Evidence of Hazel Park, Michigan Officer Kenneth Sherk who admitted he did Posture Himself to get the Gun falsely on Mr. Darryl McGone After the 1968 Black Brown over Black (Electra 225) Model was stopped after a Speed/Chase on the I-75 (I-275) Freeway 4th Arrest Point for Pursuant Investigation by Hazel Park Police Precinct. I Request That an official Arrest be Made against Kenneth Sherk, Under MCL 764.15, MCL 764.1, and MCL 764.25; And MCL 764.26 Due to NO Statute or Limitations or Newly Discovered Evidence of a police officer Using to get a Conviction Under False Pretenses MCL 750.208, And to Arrest Kenneth Sherk and Attorney Prosecutor Michael Duggan, and ex prosecutor Michael Lang a 1974 prosecutor for Failure to Uphold The Law MCL 752.11; 78.244,

(2)

That Under Abuse of Process MCL 750.469, Perjury MCL 750.421, MCL 750.422, and MCL 750.423, and Under Federal Statutory Perjury Violation of Witnesses Presented By The Detroit City Prosecutors Officer See 18 USC 1621, 18 USC 1622, And Federal Prosecutorial Perjury of Witnesses 18 USC 1623 I Request That an Arrest Warrant be Placed on The THORAC Park, Michigan Police Officer Kenneth Shank to Prosecute Him Under MCL 764.16, MCL 764.17, and Under MCL 767.44, MCL 767.45, and MCL 767.76; MDA 281016, to Jail Then Under These Statutes and Michigan Court Rules, for Causing the C.M. Daniel Mc Ghee #142739, to be Convicted Falsely of Felony Hearsay. (I Seek Victim Restitution 18 USC 3663, 18 USC 3604 in the Amount of \$50,000.00 and to force the Officer Kenneth Shank, and Prosecutor Michael Duggan for \$7,500.00 a Day for failing to Suppress the Gun in Question

(3) See Reverse Side

(3) I Request That The City Council Managers
 and City Council Commissioners of The De-
 Troit City and Hazel Park, Michigan to
 Have Them Formally Arrested for Allowing
 a False Conviction Under Mel. 750.268
by False Pretenses; That The Formal Ar-
 rest of The City Council Under Mel.
750.854, Mel 750.457 Warrant / or a War-
rantless Arrest is Required At Their
Principal Place of Employment. Where
No Statute of Limitation is Applied Under
Mel. 767.24; See Federal Statute of Limita-
tion 18 USC 3626, to Bring This Torture
and Arrest Warrant Where New Evidence
is Clear in Mr. Darryl Mc Gones Alle-
gations and Tentative Facts MCR. 2.111
and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (8).
That Short Abbreviated Facts is Good
Enough to Allow The Attorney Prosecu-
to Be Put on Alert of Arrest Warrant
and Complaints to Arrest Under MCR. 6.102
MCR: 6.103, And MCR. 6.104. Mel 762.1

(4)

(4) I Request for a Michigan Statutory
 Concept of a Formal Preliminary Examina-
 tion MCL 6.110, And MCL 766.13 Prelim-
 inary Examination and Arraignment, See the
 Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure (1), Fed-
 eral Rules of Criminal Procedure (17) 18 USC 7
 18 USC 12 Sections where The Attorney Prosec-
 utor Michael Duggan, Michael Lang or The
 1976-1977 they that knew after the persons
 of Offices ~~Reversal~~ Should Make Testimonial
 Transcript. Person in People v Darryl Mc
Conne # 76 05523 (Ec) Felony Firearm in Det-
ment was strongly Filed by The Attorney
Prosecutor in 1976 indictment Arrest War-
rant against Mr. Darryl McConne #142739
and led to Newly discovered Evidence
that Allows Mr. McConne to Reopen
Arrest Warrants against The Prosecu-
tor, City Council Members of De Troit
And Hazel Park, Michigan. Under MCL
762.41 They must be Arrest and Warrant
issued.

(5) See Reverse Side

I Request Victim Restitution of \$312,000.00 from Defendants City Council Members, under MCL 780.766, and A \$75,000.00 Victim Restitution from the Mayor of the City of Detroit for allowing a false gun charge to convict me (Mr. Darryl McGuire). Under the Michigan Constitution 5, 4 (1963) The said Governor Mr. George Milliken in 1926 did put them in political Advisors the Fire Office in the City of Detroit, and at City Council of Hazel Park, Michigan, did allow the Employee Officials to convict Mr. Darryl McGuire of false gun charges. Michigan Constitution 5, 2 (1963), Michigan Constitution 5, 3 (1963), Article 5, 4 (1963). The new Governor Mr. Gretchen Whitmer is Responsible for the Detroit Police Officers for Arresting Prosecuted Cases and must be formally Prosecuted also under MCL 764.15, MCL 744.1, And to allow the Victim Restitution in the amount of \$150,000.00 MCL 780.766, Against Gretchen Whitmer and to Release me from custody. (6)

(5) I Request That Mr. Hatcher Whitten
 and The Michigan Legislature As Asked
 By Mr. Darryl Mc Gone #142739 to be
 Arrested and Formally Charged With
 a Crime of False Pretense to Convert Me
 Under MCL 750.218 and Abuse of Pro-
 ces MCL 750.469 That Complaint Is to
 Initiated By Mr. Mc Gone Request
 Arrest and Complaints Be issued against
 The Michigan Legislature Under MCL 602
 MCL 6.103, And MCL 6.104 to Allow Mr.
 Darryl Mc Gone #142739 to obtain Vic-
 tim Restitution Compensation in The
 Amount of \$21-Million Dollars Under
 MCL 750.266, And Federal Restitution
 Statute 18 USC 3663, 18 USC 3664 and
 to Give The Michigan Legislative Mem-
 ber Employees At \$2,500.00 A Day.
 to Release Mr. Darryl Mc Gone from The
 Michigan Department of Corrections By
 False Arrest Warrants That Statutes Are
 Unconstitutional By The Michigan Legisla-
 ture Michigan Constitution 4, 24 (1943) See
 (7) See Reverse Side

Michigan Const. Art. IV, § 25, (1963) Michi-
gan Const. Art. IV, § 24, (1963) where the
Michigan Legislative Branch has created
laws to have Law Makers, Appointive,
and Supervisor Duties to Convict Mr.
Darryl Mc Ghee of Connect Ave Charges
and not by false Pretense MCL 750.218.
The Michigan Legislature have not up-
held the Law Under MCL 752.11, 1251.
25.246 (1963). This is Abuse of Process in
MCL 750.469.

This is Breach of Contract See, Michigan
Constitution 11, 6, (1963) See Federal Stat-
ute on Breach of Contract 9 U.S.C. 1,
9 U.S.C. 2.

(5) I Request That The Arrest for a Very
 High Misdemeanor or Highest Felony Under
 The Michigan Compiled Laws MCL: 37.2001,
Michigan 37.2002 (Michigan, Elliot Larfen
Act) Be Charged Against all The Defen-
dents Mr. Darryl Mc Ghee #142732 Has
Asked The Federal Prosecutor to Do.
 (8)

That Under Federal Arresting Statute
 The Michigan Legislative Members Are
 Liable For Criminal Law Indictment Under
18 USC 3041, 18 USC 3042, and Federal Rules
of Criminal Procedure 7, Federal Rules of Cr-
iminal Procedure 12.

(7) The Only Option I Have to Pre-Vail on
 Any Issue is That A Criminal Indictment due
 to the Failure of 42 USC 1983 Federal Cr-
 vil Rights, and State Civil Lawsuit Judges
 Have Used The Filing Fee Amendment to
 Prevent Me From Prevailing on These Civil
 Rights Issues By Saying to Me That I
 Must Pay The \$24,000.00 Filing Fee before
 I Can Have Physical Injury Claims Filed.
The Defendants Helen Napper Have been
Used to Reopen The Gun Charges, And Re-
trial Self-Defense issues, and Flight from
Crime Issues and Blood DNA Evidence Clashes.
 Where I Claimed my innocence to Not
 Having the Gun Falsely Accused Against Me
 By Officer Kenneth Shenk in People v Me
Gore # 74-05523 (EC) Transcripts Page 144, 145,
150, 151, 199-201, PP 201. (8) See Reverse Side

(8) I Ask The United States Attorney
 Prosecutor At U.S. Federal District Court
 to Assist Me in Bringing Federal Grand
 Jury Charges Under 18 U.S.C. 6001, 18 U.S.C.
 6002, and MCL. 765.3; MCL. 765.4; and
 MCL. 765.5; and MCL. 765.6, MCL. 765.7 to
 formally indict The Detroit City Managers,
 Detroit City Commissioners, and A Horsey
 Prosecutor. Mr. Michael Lang of 1976, and
 His office of District Attorney Prosecutors
 for Allowing a False Gun Charge to Pursue
 Causing Me to be Convicted Falsely in 1977
 Jury Trial January 7th 1977. Under MCL. 767.1;
 MCL. 767.2; MCL. 767.3; and MCL. 767.4; Grand
 Jury Can be Pursued Based on Newly Dis-
 Covered Evidence, Recent Past Testimony Under
 18 U.S.C. 33 (Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure)
 (33), See; MCL. 792.44 MCL. 767.44, MCL. 791.44
 Discovery of New Evidence Unknown to Me
 Before Jury Trial MCR. 6.502(g). I want to
 You to File Warrants to Convict These Herein
 Defendant Parties No Statute of Limitation is
 Set to File it Under MCL. 600.5806, MCL. 600.
 5806; MCL. 600.5801, MCL. 600.5802, MCL. 600.5803.

(10)

The Michigan Arresting Statute MCL 764.15, MCL 764.1, MCL 764.2 Allows a Complaint and Arrest / Summons to Place an Arrest or a Cupia Arrest Warrant against The Defendant's 1st M. Rights Related to Violating My Civil and Statutory Rights Under MCL 750.146, MCL 750.147, and MCL 750.148 By

Refusing the (Mr. Daryl McGore #142239) His Day in Criminal Court to Have Gov Charges Dismissed Under MCL 2.502.

(10) I Beg The Attorney Prosecutor to Bear With Me to Secure My Statutory Rights to file Complaints Summons MCL 6.102, MCL 2.102, to Arrest These Party Employee Personnel and to Restore my Federal Legislative Rights Guaranteed By

The Congress in (1787) (1788) Where The Ratification of Civil Rights Statutory Right to Mandatory Under 28 USC 2074, 28 USC 2075 and 28 USC 2076. These Rights Must Not be Diminished, Decreased, By Conflicting Statutes within The State or Federal Courts At All.

(11) See The Versi
Sole

(11) Under The Federal Rules Enabling Act
28 USC 2071, and 28 USC 2072 The federal
prosecutor may stop in to make an
Arrest Under The United States Attor-
ney General Mr. Rodman and Mr. Jeff
Sessions.

(14)

Reply soon as possible!
Thank you very
much
Sincerely yours

Dated:
April 15th

2019
Carbon Copy
Made.
cc:

Respectfully Sincerely
By: Darryl M. Bane
#142739
Baraga Correctional Faci-
lity, 13924, Wadaga Rd.
Baraga, Michigan, 49804
Telephone No: (906) 353-7070.

James McLean #142739

James Carter Mark P. City

1324, Lakadaga Road.

Briga, Michigan 49601

APR 25 2019
CLERK'S OFFICE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

To: Clerk's Office of the
U.S. District Court
Prosecutor Captain McLean

Defendant
4825882405
P. 4/24/19
Michigan 49601

APR 25 2019
CLERK'S OFFICE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT



UNITED STATES POSTAGE
PITNEY BOWES
\$ 000.650
APR 16 2019
MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 49608

CIVIL COVER SHEET FOR PRISONER CASES

Case No. <u>19-11207</u> Judge: <u>Bernard A. Friedman</u> Magistrate Judge: <u>David R. Grand</u>	
Name of 1st Listed Plaintiff/Petitioner: DARRYL MCGORE	Name of 1st Listed Defendant/Respondent: MICHAEL DUGGAN
Inmate Number: <u>142739</u>	Additional Information: DM NO FEE NO IFP NO COPIES
Plaintiff/Petitioner's Attorney and Address Information: 	
Correctional Facility: Baraga Maximum Correctional Facility 301 Wadaga Road Baraga, MI 49908 BARAGA COUNTY	

BASIS OF JURISDICTION

- ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant
☒ 3 Federal Question

ORIGIN

- ☒ 1 Original Proceeding
☐ 5 Transferred from Another District Court
☐ Other:

NATURE OF SUIT

- ☐ 530 Habeas Corpus
☐ 540 Mandamus
☒ 550 Civil Rights
☐ 555 Prison Conditions

FEE STATUS

- ☒ IFP *In Forma Pauperis*
☐ PD Paid

PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.11**1. Is this a case that has been previously dismissed?**

- ☐ Yes ☒ No

➤ If yes, give the following information:

Court: _____

Case No: _____

Judge: _____

2. Other than stated above, are there any pending or previously discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this or any other court, including state court? (Companion cases are matters in which it appears substantially similar evidence will be offered or the same or related parties are present and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.)

- ☐ Yes ☒ No

➤ If yes, give the following information:

Court: _____

Case No: _____

Judge: _____